H-D Exchange between Benzene and the OH Groups of Alumina

E. BAUMGARTENAND A. ZACHOS

Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstr. 1, D-4000 Diisseldorf, West Germany

Received September 9, 1980; revised November 13,198O

H-D exchange of benzene with the OH groups of aluminas was investigated using FTIR spectroscopy. The reactions benzene- $d_{\mathbf{g}}$ -alumina and benzene-alumina-d are of first order with respect to OH and benzene concentrations ($E_A = 112 \text{ kJ/mol}$). They mainly take place on centersprobably Lewis acid-base pairs-which are poisoned by $CO₂$ adsorbed as carbonate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Different exchange reactions on alumina were investigated because of the great importance of aluminas as catalysts. Van Cauwelaert and Hall (1) investigated the exchange between H_2 and D_2 over alumina, and observed that but a small part of the surface is catalytically active. Exchange reactions between deuterium and alkenes $(2-7)$ and aromatics $(8, 9)$ take place at room temperature already. Larson et al. (7) proposed to use this reaction to prepare perdeuterated alkenes.

Saunders and Hightower (8) observed reactions in the following systems with alumina as a catalyst: $C_6H_6-D_2$, $C_6D_6-H_2$, $C_6H_6-C_6D_6$. The last reaction takes place with about a 10- to 20-fold greater velocity than those of the first two reactions. According to McCosh and Kemball (10) , the exchange of $D₂$ with aromatic hydrogen is faster than that with aliphatic hydrogen.

Yates and Lucchesi $(11, 12)$ using ethylene and Carter *et al.* (13) using D_2 , observed that the exchange of OH groups of η -alumina with a band at 3585 cm⁻¹ is faster than that of the other bands. The same effect-higher reactivity of the OH groups absorbing at the highest wavenumbers-was found by Larson and Hall (14) and Dunken and Fink (15) on γ -Al₂O₃ too. These observations are in contrast to the results of Peri and Hannan (16), who claim to have found a higher activity of the OH groups absorbing at the longest wavelengths.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

A FTIR spectrometer (Digilab FTS-14) equipped with a globar and a MTC detector was used for the measurements together with a high-temperature measuring cell (17). The oxide samples could be heated to 1000 K in the pretreatment zone; the measuring temperatures could be up to 650 K. Highly purified helium was used as a carrier gas, a part of which was loaded with benzene or benzene- d_6 in separate saturators as in former investigations (18, 19). The oxides used are compiled in Table 1. The specific surfaces were determined using the method of Haul and Dümbgen (20) .

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3. I. Reactions on Pure Oxides

Figure 1 shows the OH and OD bands for increasing degree of deuteration. Evidently there is no difference in the exchange velocities of different OH bands under these conditions (T = 621 K; $p_{\text{C_6D_6}}$ = 48 mm Hg). The reaction is of first order as is the opposite exchange reaction (benzene- Al_2O_3-d (Fig. 2), which is considerably faster than the former. The kinetic isotope effect is about 0.7. From Fig. 3, showing the dependence of the velocity constant for the first-order reaction (k') on the benzene

FIG. 1. Changes in ir spectra of γ -Al₂O₃(Type C) during H-D exchange with benzene- d_6 . (θ = 348°C, $p_{C_6D_6}$ $= 45$ mm Hg.)

partial pressure, it follows that the reaction is also of first order with respect to the benzene partial pressure. Thus the exchange may be described by

$$
\frac{dE_{\rm OD}}{dt} = -kE_{\rm OD}p_{\rm C_6H_6}.
$$

Figure 4 shows an Arrhenius plot, leading to an activation energy of 112 kJ/mol. All

FIG. 2. Kinetics of H-D exchange. $(\theta = 348^{\circ}\text{C}; p_{\text{Hydrocarbon}} = 45 \text{ mm Hg.})$ (\bullet) Benzene-Al₂O₃-d; (O) benzene- d_6 -Al₂O₃.

FIG. 3. Dependence of velocity constant on benzene partial pressure ($\theta = 300^{\circ}$ C).

FIG. 4. Arthenius plot for reaction of benzene with Al_2O_3-d ($p_{C_6H_6} = 75$ mm Hg).

FIG. 5. Dependence of velocity constant on temperature of pretreatment $(\theta = 310^{\circ}\text{C}, p_{C_6H_6} = 75 \text{ mm Hg})$.

TABLE 1 TABLE 2

Oxide	Source	Spec. surf. (m^2/g)
1. ν -Al ₂ O ₃	Degussa Type C	99.3
2. γ -Al ₂ O ₃	Merck	79.4
$3. \gamma$ -Al ₂ O ₃	Prepared from Bomite	250.9
4. γ -Al ₂ O ₃	Prepared from $Al(NO3)3$	151.6
5. $n - Al_2O_3$	Prepared from Bayerite	316.6

these experiments were made with γ -Al₂O₃, Type C, preheated at 803 K (530°C). The reactivity of the oxide strongly depends on the pretreatment temperature. The activity increases up to about 600° C, Fig. 5. This is in good agreement with investigations (21) about the reactivity for alkene isomerization and cyclization, which show that reactive centers are formed during preparation of the oxide (calcination). The centers are poisoned by adsorbed water, which may be removed by heating as seen in Fig. 5. Calcination or preheating at above 600°C diminishes the number of centers probably by the annealing of defects.

A greater number of experiments with a constant benzene or benzene- d_{β} partial pressure of 75 mm Hg were made at different measuring temperatures with γ -Al₂O₃, Type C, and η -Al₂O₃. The degree of dehydration was varied during these measurements by changing the pretreatment

FIG. 6. Influence of poisoning with $CO₂$ (benzene- $d₆$ - γ -Al₂O₃: θ = 330°C, $p_{C_0D_6}$ = 75 mm Hg, p_{CO_2} = 9.5 mm Hg).

Oxides Used in These Investigations Constants for the Arrhenius Equation of γ -Al₂O₃ (Type C) and η -Al₂O₃

		η -Al ₂ O ₃
Const.	γ -Al ₂ O ₃	
а	$7.77 \cdot 10^6$	$1.66 \cdot 10^{7}$
b	$-3.28 \cdot 10^{9}$	$-9.81 \cdot 10^{10}$
c	$4.36 \cdot 10^{13}$	$1.80 \cdot 10^{14}$
d	0.827	0.793
е	$-1.00 \cdot 10^{2}$	-3.07
f	$9.31 \cdot 10^{4}$	$8.79 \cdot 10^{4}$
g	$2.20 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3.59 \cdot 10^{7}$

temperature. The integral absorbance of a formal single $Al₂O₃$ surface after complete deuteration

$$
E'_{\rm OD} = 10^{-4} (E_{\rm OD} F_{\rm p}/m_{\rm p} F_{\rm s}),
$$

 E_{OD} : integral absorbance of OD bands,

 F_p : area of the wafer (3.80 cm²),

 m_p : weight of the wafer (g),

 F_s : specific surface of oxide (m²/g),

was used to characterize the degree of

F1G. 7. Reactivity and $CO₂$ partial pressure ($\theta = 330^{\circ}$ C, $p_{\text{Hydrocarbon}} = 75 \text{ mm Hg}$. ((*i*) Benzene-Al₂O₃-d; (\bullet) benzene- d_6 -Al₂O₃.

hydratization. Assuming a linear (or qua-

TABLE 3 dratic) dependence of the constants of the Arrhenius equation on E'_{OD} one gets

$$
k' = k'_0 \cdot f_i \cdot e^{-E_A/RT},
$$

$$
k'_0 = a + b \cdot E_{0D} + cE_{0D}^2,
$$

\n
$$
f_i = d + e \cdot E_{0D}
$$
 (isotopic factor),
\n
$$
E_A = f + g \cdot E_{0D}.
$$

The constants $a-g$ were determined using the optimization method of Nelder and Mead (22). The results are given in Table 2. According to these measurements both the number of centers (k_0) and the activation energy (E_A) depend on the degree of hydratization in a way to be expected for centers with a distribution of energy: k_0 decreases and E_A increases with E'_{OD} (varying from 2.8 to $10 \cdot 10^{-4}$ cm⁻¹). The higher reactivity of η -Al₂O₃ is mainly caused by the greater number of centers.

The velocity constants for different ox- It is well known that $CO₂$ may be a

Velocity Constants of the H-D Exchange between Benzene, Benzene-d, and OD or OH Groups of Different Aluminas^a

 a $p_{C_{6}H_{6}, C_{6}D_{6}} = 75$ mm Hg, $\theta = 310^{\circ}$ C.

pared in a different way. η -Alumina is markedly more active.

3.2. Poisoning Experiments with $CO₂$

ides under the same conditions are given in poison for H-D exchange reactions $(1, 2, 4, 4)$ Table 3. Within the limits of error there are 6, 8). Figure 6 shows the effect of $CO₂$ (9.5) no differences between γ -aluminas pre- mm Hg) on the exchange reaction: The

FIG. 8. Exchange reactivity and adsorbed CO₂ species. (O) Kinetic data; benzene-Al₂O₃-d: $\theta = 270^{\circ}$ C, $p_{\text{c}} = 75$ mm Hg;pcc) $p_{\text{c}} = 9.5$ mm Hg;(x)formation of hydrogen carbonate(E_{c} , ofbandat 1647cm-1);(A) f_{eff} \sim $\frac{\text{maxus}}{\text{F}}$ ($\frac{0.5}{2}$ \sim \sim mm $\frac{1}{2}$, \sim \sim 11 at 1510 cm \sim

reaction is partially poisoned, but the order of the reaction is not influenced. The dependence of reactivity on $CO₂$ partial pressure is shown in Fig. 7. Obviously one may distinguish two parallel exchange reactions; the centers for the more important of these reactions are poisoned by $CO₂$. The centers for the other reaction are not influenced by this poison.

From spectroscopic investigations (23– 31) it is known that $CO₂$ is adsorbed in different forms: physisorbed $CO₂$, hydrogen-carbonate, and carbonate forms. By comparing the adsorption isotherms (23) for hydrogen-carbonate and carbonate species with Fig. 7, one may conclude that the reactive centers are poisoned by formation of carbonate. This conclusion is confirmed by kinetic experiments shown in Fig. 8. The exchange reaction ($\ln E_{\text{max}}$) is compared with the amount of hydrogen-carbonate and carbonate species formed after addition of $CO₂$ and that remaining on the surface after stopping $CO₂$ addition. The reactivity clearly correlates with the amount of carbonate species. From (23) it is known that three different carbonate species are formed with adsorption bands at 1580, 1520, and 1455 cm^{-1} . The sum of carbonateforming centers was determined to be 5.8 \cdot 10¹¹ per square centimeter for γ -Al₂O₃ and $12.2 \cdot 10^{11}$ per square centimeter for η - Al_2O_3 , which is in good agreement with the relative exchange activities. By comparing the relative absorbances of the carbonate species on η - and γ -Al₂O₃ (2.0 (1580), 2.1 (1520) , and 1.4 (1455 cm^{-1}) with the relative reactivity for H-D exchange one may conclude that either the band at 1580 cm^{-1} or the one at 1520 cm⁻¹ is formed by $CO₂$ adsorption on the reactive centers.

The most probable assumption for the structure of the reactive centers seems to be Lewis acid-base pairs formed by aluminum and oxide ions on sites with extremely high energy. The number of sites would agree with the number of comers of oxide crystallites, but the fact that the exchange velocity does not depend on the specific surface (Table 3) as it should for sites on comers seems to rule out this assumption.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to Mrs. U. Köhler and Mr. P. Werner for help in the preparation of this manuscript and to Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Van Cauwelaert, F. H., and Hall, W. K., Trans. Faraday Soc. 66, 454 (1970).
- 2. Hightower, J. W., and Hall, W. K., Trans. Far day Soc. 66, 477 (1970).
- 3. Hightower, J. W., and Hall, W. K., J. Catal. 13, 161 (1%9).
- 4. Rosynek, M. P., and Hightower, J. W., in "Proceedings, 5th International Congress on Catalysis, Palm Beach, 1972" (J. W. Hightower, Ed.), Vol. 58, p. 851. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- 5. Highes, B. T., Kemball, C., and Tayler, J. K., J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I 71, 1285 (1975).
- 6. Rosynek, M. P., Smith, W. D., and Hightower, J. W., J. Catal. 23, 204 (1971).
- 7. Larson, L. G., Hightower, J. W., and Hall, W. K. J. Org. Chem. 31, 1225 (1966).
- 8. Saunders, P. C., and Hightower, J. W., J. Phys. Chem. 74, 4323 (1970).
- 9. Scurrell, M. S., and Kemball, C., J. Chem. Soc Faraday Trans. I 72, 818 (1976).
- 10 McCosh, R., and Kemball, C., J. Chem. Soc. A. 1555 (1968).
- II . Yates, D. J. C., and Lucchesi, P. J. I . Phys. Chem. 67, 1197 (1963).
- $12.$ Vates, D. J. C., and Lucchesi, P. J. $P_{hvs.}$ Chem. 35, 243 (1961).
- 13. Carter, J. J., Lucchesi, P. J., Corneil, P. Vates, D. J. C., and Sinfelt, J. H., J. Phys. Chem. 69, 3070 (1%5).
- 14 . Larson, J.G., and Hall, W. K., J. Phys. 3080 (1965).
- $15.$ Dunken, H., and Fink, P., Z. Chem. 6, 194 (1966).
- $\overline{16}$ Pari, J. B., and Hannan, R. B., J. Phys. Chem. 64 1526 (1960).
- 17. Boumgarten, E., and Weinstrauch, F., Snectrachim. Acta Parr A 34, 1155 (1978).
- 19. Doumgarten, E., and Weinstrauch, E., Spectrum chim. Acta Parr A 35, 1315 (1979).
- 10. Baumgarten, E., and Weinstrauch, F., Spectro chim. Actu Part A 35, 1523 (1979).
- 20. Haul, R., and Diimbgen, G., Chern. Iug. Tech. 35, 586 (1963).
- 21. Baumgarten,E.,andHollenberg,D., submitted for publication.
- 22. Nelder, J. A., and Mead, R., Comput. J., 7 (1965).
- 23. Baumgarten, E., and Zachos, A., Spectrochim. 27. Parkyns, N. D., J. Chem. Soc. A, 410 (1969).
28. Parkyns, N. D., J. Phys. Chem. 75, 526 (1971
- 24. Little, L. H., and Amberg, C. H., Can. J. Chem. 29. Rosynek, M. P., J. Phys. Chem. 79, 1280 (1975).
40, 1997 (1962). 30. Morterra, C., Zecchina, A., and Coluccia, S., J.
-
- Chem. 73, 1243 (1969). $J. \text{Catal. } 46, 431 (1977)$.
-
- 28. Parkyns, N. D., J. Phys. Chem. 75, 526 (1971).
-
- 30. Morterra, C., Zecchina, A., and Coluccia, S., J. 25. Fink, P., Z. Chem. 7, 324 (1%7). Chem. Sot. Faraday Trans. I, 1544 (1977).
- 26. Gregg, S. J., and Ramsay, J. D. F., J. Phys. 31. Amenomiya, Y., Morikawa, Y., and Pleizier, G.,